

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

TRIA BEAUTY, INC.,

Case No. CV-10-5030 RS

Plaintiff,

vs.

RADIANCY, INC.,

Defendant

**[PROPOSED] ORDER DENYING
PLAINTIFF TRIA BEAUTY INC.'S
MOTION TO COMPEL DISCOVERY**

Date: September 15, 2011

Time: 1:30 p.m.

Ctrm: 3

RADIANCY INC

Counterclaim-Plaintiff

VS

TRIA BEAUTY INC.

Counterclaim-Defendant,

Honorable Judge Richard Seeborg

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Hearing Cutoff: May 10, 2012
Pretrial Conf: June 28, 2012
Trial: July 9, 2012

Action Filed: November 5, 2010

Having considered Plaintiff TRIA Beauty, Inc.’s (“TRIA”) Motion to Compel, and the Memorandum in Opposition to the Motion to Compel filed by Defendant-Counterclaim Plaintiff Radiancy, Inc. (“Radiancy”), and good cause appearing therefore,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that TRIA's Motion is hereby DENIED in its entirety.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: , 2011

United States Magistrate Judge